IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

TUESDAY, THE 28TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 31481 of 2006(W)

PETITIONER:

THE CORPORATE MANAGER,
P.M.PAILY PILLAI, ST.PETERS HIGH SCHOOL
AND TRAINING SCHOOL, CORPORATE MANAGEMENT,
KOLENCHERY P.O., ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.GEORGE POONTHOTTAM

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. STATE OF KERALA,
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
ALUVA, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

3. SMT.LIJI P.JOHN, UPSA,
ST.PETERS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
KOLENCHERY, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

R1 & R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER (SHRI A. J. VARGHESE)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C NO. 31481 OF 2006 W

Dated this the 28th November, 2006

JUDGMENT

Aggrieved by Ext.P5 order passed by second respondent DEO, petitioner has preferred Ext.P6 Revision Petition under Rule 92 of Chapter XIV A KER. Even though petitioner has challenged Ext.P5 in this Court, I feel that as the petitioner has already availed the alternate remedy, petitioner should be relegated to the pursuit of the said alternate remedy. But, I feel that a time limit should be fixed for consideration of Ext.P6. I heard the learned Government Pleader also. The Writ Petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and take a decision on Ext.P6 in accordance with law, after hearing all the parties, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment. Petitioner has also filed Ext.P7, which is an application seeking interim relief. First respondent will consider and pass orders thereon in

accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.